arXiv:1604.03072v2 [cs.DS] 11 Jul 2016

FAST COMPUTATION OF ISOMORPHISMS BETWEEN FINITE FIELDS USING ELLIPTIC CURVES

ANAND KUMAR NARAYANAN

Abstract. We propose a randomized algorithm to compute isomorphisms between finite fields using elliptic curves. To compute an isomorphism between two degree n extensions of the finite field with q elements, our algorithm takes

$$\widetilde{O}\left(n\log^2 q + \max_{\ell}(\ell^{n_\ell+1}\log^2 q + \ell\log^5 q)\right)$$

time, where ℓ runs through primes dividing n but not $q(q-1)$ and n_ℓ denotes the highest power of ℓ dividing n. Prior to this work, the best known run time dependence on n was quadratic. Our run time dependence on n is at worst quadratic but is subquadratic if n has no large prime factor. In particular, the n for which our run time is linear in n have natural density at least 3/10.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Computing Isomorphisms between Finite Fields.** Every finite field has prime power cardinality and for every prime power there is a finite field of that cardinality. Further, every two finite fields of the same cardinality are isomorphic [Moo1889]. These now well known result pose two algorithmic problems. The first concerns field construction: given a prime power, construct a finite field of that cardinality. The second is the isomorphism problem: compute an isomorphism between two explicitly presented finite fields of the same cardinality.

Field construction is performed by constructing an irreducible polynomial of appropriate degree over the underlying prime order field, with all known efficient unconditional constructions requiring randomness. The fastest known construction, due to Couveignes and Lercier [CL13] uses elliptic curve isogenies. In practice, a polynomial is chosen at random and tested for irreducibility [Ben81]. Such non canonical construction of finite fields motivates the isomorphism problem in several applications. For instance in cryptography, the discrete logarithm problem over small characteristic finite fields is often posed over fields constructed using random irreducible polynomials. In cryptanalysis, the quasi-polynomial algorithm [BGJT14] for computing discrete logarithms works over fields constructed using irreducible polynomials of a special form. An isomorphism computation is thus required as a preprocessing step in cryptanalysis.

Remarkably, the isomorphism problem was shown to be in deterministic polynomial time by Lenstra [Len87]. Zierler had earlier noted that the isomorphism problem reduces to root finding over finite fields and hence has efficient randomized algorithms [Zie74]. Employing an algorithm of Kaltofen and Shoup [KS99] for polynomial factorization over large degree extensions of finite fields (implemented using Kedlaya-Umans fast modular composition [KU08]) to find roots, Zierler's approach yields the fastest previously known algorithm for computing isomorphisms. Our main result is an algorithm with improved run time in most cases.

An alternate approach relying on cyclotomy instead of root finding was introduced by Pinch [Pin92] and improved upon by Rains [Rai08] to give the fastest algorithm in practice. The cyclotomic method of

The author was partially supported by NSF grant CCF 1423544 and a Simons Foundation Investigator grant.

Pinch requires that the finite fields in question contain certain small order roots of unity. To remove this requirement, Pinch [Pin92] proposed using elliptic curves over finite fields. This way, instead of roots of unity, one seeks rational points of small order on elliptic curves. Our algorithm, although very different, relies on elliptic curves as well. We take inspiration from the aforementioned algorithm of Couveinges and Lercier [CL13]. While [CL13] used elliptic curves to solve field construction in linear time, we solve the isomorphism problem.

1.2. **Computing Isomorphisms and Root Finding.** We formally pose the isomorphism problem stating the manner in which the input fields and the output isomorphism are represented. Let q be a power of a prime p and let $\mathbb{F}_q$ denote the finite field with q elements. Fix an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ of $\mathbb{F}_q$ and let $\sigma : \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ denote the q^{th} power Frobenius endomorphism. We consider two finite fields of cardinality q^n to be given through two monic irreducible degree n polynomials $f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$. The fields are then constructed as $\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha)$ and $\mathbb{F}_q(\beta)$ where $\alpha, \beta \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ are respectively roots of $f(x), g(x)$. Without loss of generality [CL13, page 79], all our algorithms assume the base field $\mathbb{F}_q$ to be given as the quotient of the polynomial ring over $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ by a monic irreducible polynomial over $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

An isomorphism $\phi : \mathbb{F}_q(\alpha) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q(\beta)$ that fixes $\mathbb{F}_q$ is completely determined by the image $\phi(\alpha)$. We call the unique $r_\phi(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ of degree less than n such that $\phi(\alpha) = r_\phi(\beta)$ as the polynomial representation of ϕ. We are justified in seeking the polynomial representation of ϕ since given $r_\phi(x)$, one may compute the image of any element in $\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha)$ under ϕ in time nearly linear in n using fast modular composition [KU08, Cor. 7.2]. For an $r(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ of degree less than n, $r(x)$ is the polynomial representation of an isomorphism from $\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha)$ to $\mathbb{F}_q(\beta)$ if and only if $r(\beta)$ is a root of $f(x)$. Hence the problem of computing the polynomial representation of an isomorphism that fixes $\mathbb{F}_q$ is identical to the following root finding problem.

Isomorphism Problem: Given monic irreducibles $f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ of degree n, find a root of $f(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_q(\beta)$ where $\beta \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ is a root of $g(x)$.

There are two input size parameters, namely n and $\log q$. Prior to our work, the best known run time was quadratic in n resulting from using [KS99, Thm. 1] and [KU08, Cor. 7.2] to find roots in the Isomorphism Problem. We are primarily interested in lowering the run time exponent in n. Our run time dependence on $\log q$ will be polynomial but not optimized for. Here on, all our algorithms are Las Vegas randomized and by run time we mean expected number of bit operations. Further, run times are stated using soft $\widetilde{O}$ notation that suppresses $n^{o(1)}$ and $\log^{o(1)} q$ terms.

1.3. **Summary of Results.** We present an algorithm for the Isomorphism Problem with run time

$$\widetilde{O}\left(n \log^2 q + \max_{\ell}(\ell^{n_\ell+1} \log^2 q + \ell \log^5 q)\right)$$

where ℓ runs through primes dividing n but not $q(q-1)$ with n_ℓ the highest power of ℓ dividing n. Evidently, our run time depends on the prime factorization of n. Although at worst quadratic in n, we next argue it is subquadratic for *most* n. If n has a large (say $\Omega(n)$) prime factor not dividing $q(q-1)$, our running time exponent in n is 2. In all other cases, it is less than 2. Call n with largest prime factor at most $n^{1/c}$ as $n^{1/c}$-powersmooth. Call n with largest prime power factor at most $n^{1/c}$ as $n^{1/c}$-smooth. For $n^{1/c}$-powersmooth n with $1 < c \leq 2$, our run time exponent in n is at most $2/c$. The natural density of $n^{1/c}$-powersmooth n (which up to negligible lower order terms equals the density of $n^{1/c}$-smooth n) tends to the Dickman-de Bruin function $\rho(c)$ and for $1 < c \leq 2$, $\rho(c) = 1 - \log c$ [Gra08, Eq. 1.3]. In particular, $n^{1/1.1}$-powersmooth n have density $1 - \log(1.1) > 9/10$. Hence the n with run time exponent in n at most $2/1.1 \approx 1.8$ have density at least $9/10$. Likewise, $n^{1/2}$-powersmooth n have density at least $3/10$. Hence the n with run time linear in n have density at least $3/10$.

The paper is organized as follows. In § 2, the Isomorphism Problem is reduced in linear time to subproblems, each one corresponding to a prime power ℓ^{n_ℓ} dividing n. A key component in the reduction is a fast linear algebraic algorithm (Lemma 2.1) that takes a polynomial relation between two $\alpha, \beta \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ of the same degree and computes a root of the minimal polynomial of α in $\mathbb{F}_q(\beta)$. In § 3, subproblems corresponding to prime powers ℓ^{n_ℓ} such that ℓ divides $q-1$ are solved in linear time using Kummer theory. Likewise, in § 4, subproblems corresponding to powers of the characteristic p are solved in linear time using Artin-Schreier theory. The key in both these special cases is a new recursive algorithm to evaluate the action of idempotents in the Galois group ring that appear in the proof of Hilbert's theorem 90. In § 5, the generic case of a prime power ℓ^{n_ℓ} where $\ell \nmid (q-1)p$ is handled using an elliptic curve $E/\mathbb{F}_q$ with $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational ℓ torsion. The analogue of Hilbert's theorem 90 in this context is Lang's theorem which states that the first cohomology group $H^1(\mathbb{F}_q, E)$ is trivial [Lan78]. In § 5.2, the isomorphism problem is reduced to computing discrete logarithms in the $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational ℓ torsion subgroup of E. The crux of the reduction is to compute an $\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^{n_\ell}}}$-rational point of order $\ell^{n_\ell+1}$ (Problem 5.5). This is equivalent to computing a preimage of some $\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^{n_\ell-1}}}$-rational point of order ℓ^{n_ℓ} (Problem 5.7). In § 5.3, we devise a fast algorithm to compute such a preimage using ℓ isogenies and solve the Isomorphism Problem of degree ℓ^{n_ℓ} in $\widetilde{O}(\ell^{n_\ell+1}\log q + \ell \log^5 q)$ time. We further identify the bottleneck and pose an algorithmic Problem 5.7, a solution to which would solve the Isomorphism Problem in subquadratic time for all n.

Fast modular composition and fast modular power projection [KU08], key ingredients in our algorithm, are considered impractical with no existing implementations. Practical implications of our algorithm are thus unclear. We also extend our algorithm to solve the following more general root finding problem: given a polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and a positive integer n, find its roots in $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ (see Remark 2.4). The construction of $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ could be given or left to the algorithm. The former allows one to compute embeddings of one finite field in another.

2. Reduction of the Isomorphism Problem to Prime Power Degrees

In this section, we present a nearly linear time reduction of the Isomorphism Problem to itself restricted to the special case where the input degree is prime.

For $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$, call $[\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha) : \mathbb{F}_q]$ the degree of α. For $\alpha, \beta \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$, call $\alpha \sim \beta$ if and only if there is an integer j such that $\alpha = \sigma^j(\beta)$. That is, $\alpha \sim \beta$ indicates that α and β have the same minimal polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

The Isomorphism Problem could be rephrased as: given $\alpha, \beta \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ of degree n, find an $r(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ such that $\alpha \sim r(\beta)$. The following lemma asserts that a relation $f_1(\alpha) \sim f_2(\beta)$ (where $f_1, f_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ are such that both sides have degree n) can be translated into a relation of the form $\alpha \sim r(\beta)$ sought in the Isomorphism Problem in nearly linear time.

Lemma 2.1. *There is an $\widetilde{O}(n \log q)$ time algorithm that given (the minimal polynomials of) $\alpha, \beta \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ of degree n and $f_1(x), f_2(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ of degree less than n such that $f_1(\alpha)$ is of degree n and $f_1(\alpha) \sim f_2(\beta)$, finds an $r(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ satisfying $\alpha \sim r(\beta)$.*

Proof. Let $g(x)$ be the minimal polynomial of α. Since α and $f_1(\alpha)$ both have degree n, α is in $\mathbb{F}_q(f_1(\alpha))$ and there is a unique $h(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_i x^i \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ such that $h(f_1(\alpha)) = \alpha$.

To compute $h(x)$, we look to the augmented set of equations in its coefficients

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} h_i f_1(\alpha)^{i+j} = \alpha f_1(\alpha)^i, \quad i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$$

obtained by multiplying $h(f_1(\alpha)) = \alpha$ by powers of $f_1(\alpha)$.

Pick an $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear functional

$$\mathcal{U} : \mathbb{F}_q(\alpha) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q, y \longmapsto u^t y$$

where $u \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is chosen uniformly at random and $y \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is an element of $\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha)$ written in the standard basis $(1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \ldots, \alpha^{n-1})$. Project under $\mathcal{U}$ to obtain the following $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear system

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} h_i \, \mathcal{U}\left(f_1(\alpha)^{i+j}\right) = \mathcal{U}(\alpha f_1(\alpha)^i), i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}. \tag{2.1}$$

Setting $a_i := \mathcal{U}(f_1(\alpha)^i)$ for $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 2n-2\}$ and $b_i := \mathcal{U}(\alpha f_1(\alpha)^i)$ for $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$, the linear system 2.1 may be written in matrix form as

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \ldots & a_{n-1} \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & \ldots & a_n \\ a_2 & a_3 & a_4 & \ldots & a_{n+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n-1} & a_n & a_{n+1} & \ldots & a_{2n-2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} h_0 \\ h_1 \\ h_2 \\ \vdots \\ h_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_0 \\ b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \tag{2.2}$$

Computing $a_i = \mathcal{U}(f_1(\alpha)^i)$ for $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 2n-2\}$ is an instance of the modular power projection problem, which can be solved in $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}(n \log q)$ time [KU08][Thm 7.7] given u, $f_1(x)$ and $g(x)$.

Multiplication by α is an $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear transformation on $\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha)$ with matrix representation on the standard basis $(1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \ldots, \alpha^{n-1})$ being the companion matrix

$$X := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & -g_0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & -g_1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & -g_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & -g_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

with respect to $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g_i x^i + x^n$. Since X has at most $2n-1$ non zero coefficients, $u^t X$ can be computed with number of $\mathbb{F}_q$-operations bounded linearly in n. Consider the $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear functional

$$\bar{\mathcal{U}} : \mathbb{F}_q(\alpha) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q, \quad y \longmapsto u^t X y$$

where $y \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is an element of $\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha)$ written in the standard basis $(1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \ldots, \alpha^{n-1})$. Since $b_i = \bar{\mathcal{U}}(f_1(\alpha)^i)$ for $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$, computing $b_i, i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$ is again an instance of the modular power projection problem that can be solved in $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}(n \log q)$ time given $u^t X$, $f_1(x)$ and $g(x)$. The linear system 2.1 has full rank with probability at least $1/2$ [Sho99] for a randomly chosen u. One of its solutions is the coefficients of the $h(x)$ we seek. Being Toeplitz, in $\widetilde{O}(n \log q)$ time, we can test if it is full rank and if so find the solution h [BGY80]. Once $h(x)$ is found, using fast modular composition [KU08, Cor 7.2] compute and output the unique $r(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ of degree less than n such that $r(\beta) = h(f_2(\beta))$. □

Lemma 2.2. *There is an algorithm that given the minimal polynomial $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ of an $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ of degree m and a positive integer n dividing m, finds an element $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{F}_q(\alpha)$ of degree n and its minimal polynomial in time $\widetilde{O}(m \log^2 q)$.*

Proof. Pick $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q(\alpha)$ uniformly at random and set $\alpha_n := \sum_{i=0}^{m/n-1} \sigma^{ni}(\beta)$, the trace of β down to $\mathbb{F}_{q^n} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q(\alpha)$. By the Frobenius trace algorithm [vzGS92, Alg. 5.2] implemented using fast modular composition [KU08, Cor. 7.2], this trace computation can be performed in the time stated in the lemma. Compute the minimal polynomial $M(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ of α_n over $\mathbb{F}_q$ using [Sho99][KU08, § 8.4], again, in time

stated in the lemma. If the degree of $M(x)$ is n, output α_n and $M(x)$. Since the trace down to $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ maps a random element from $\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha)$ to a random element in $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$, we succeed with probability at least $1/2$. □

We next reduce the Isomorphism Problem to itself restricted to prime power degree.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $n = \prod_\ell \ell^{n_\ell}$ be the factorization of n into prime powers. In $\widetilde{O}(n \log^2 q)$ time, the* Isomorphism Problem *with inputs of degree n may be reduced to identical problems; one for each prime ℓ dividing n with inputs of degree ℓ^{n_ℓ}.*

Proof. Consider an input $f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ to the Isomorphism Problem. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ respectively be roots of $f(x), g(x)$. Compute the factorization $n = \prod_\ell \ell^{n_\ell}$ of n into prime powers. For each prime ℓ dividing n, using Lemma 2.2, compute $\alpha_\ell \in \mathbb{F}_q(\alpha)$ and $M_\ell(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ such that α_ℓ has degree ℓ^{n_ℓ} and M_ℓ is the minimal polynomial of α_ℓ. Likewise compute $\beta_\ell \in \mathbb{F}_q(\beta)$ and $N_\ell(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ such that β_ℓ has degree ℓ^{n_ℓ} and N_ℓ is the minimal polynomial of β_ℓ. Since $\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^{n_\ell}}}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n/\ell^{n_\ell}}}$ are linearly disjoint over $\mathbb{F}_q$, both $\sum_{\ell|n} \alpha_\ell$ and $\sum_{\ell|n} \beta_\ell$ have degree n. For each ℓ dividing n, solve the Isomorphism Problem with input $M_\ell(x), N_\ell(x)$ and find a root β'_ℓ of $M_\ell(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_q(\beta_\ell)$. Now for all ℓ dividing n, $\alpha_\ell \sim \beta'_\ell$. Applying Lemma 2.1 to the relation $\sum_{\ell|n} \alpha_\ell \sim \sum_{\ell|n} \beta'_\ell$, we solve the Isomorphism Problem with input $f(x), g(x)$. □

Remark 2.4. Consider the problem of finding a root of a degree m polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$, where $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ is constructed as $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/(g(x))$ for a monic irreducible $g(x)$. Either $g(x)$ is given or constructed in linear time using [CL13]. We show that this problem reduces to the Isomorphism Problem in time linear in m and n. In fact, the reduction finds not just one but all the roots of $f(x)$ in an implicit form. The output is a set of roots of $f(x)$ whose orbit under σ is the set of all roots of $f(x)$. For $f(x)$ to have a root in $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$, $f(x)$ has to have an irreducible factor of degree dividing n. Since the number of factors of n is at most $\log n$, in $\widetilde{O}(m \log^2 q \log n)$ time, we may enumerate all irreducible factors of $f(x)$ of degree dividing n. For each such irreducible factor $h(x)$, using Lemma 2.2, identify a subfield of $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ and find a root $h(x)$ in the subfield by solving the Isomorphism Problem.

3. Root Finding in Kummer Extensions of Finite Fields

Using Kummer theory, we solve the Isomorphism Problem restricted to the case when n is a power of a prime ℓ dividing $q-1$. The novelty here is a fast recursive evaluation of the idempotent appearing in the standard proof of (cyclic) Hilbert's theorem 90.

Lemma 3.1. *There is an algorithm that given a finite extension $L/\mathbb{F}_q$, an integer $m \leq [L : \mathbb{F}_q]$ and a $\zeta \in L$ such that $\zeta \in K := \{\beta \in L | \sigma^m(\beta) = \beta\}$ and $\zeta^{[L:K]} = 1$, finds an $\alpha \in L$ such that $\sigma^m(\alpha) = \zeta\alpha$ in $\widetilde{O}([L : \mathbb{F}_q] \log^2 q)$ time.*

Proof. Since the norm of ζ from L down to K is $\zeta^{[L:K]} = 1$, an α as claimed in the lemma exists by Hilbert's theorem 90 applied to the cyclic extension L/K. We next describe an algorithm that finds such an α in the stated time.

Define $\tau := \zeta^{-1}\sigma^m$, viewed as a K-linear endomorphism on L. A fixed point of τ is the α we seek. By independence of characters, the projector $\sum_{i=0}^{[L:K]-1} \tau^i$ to the fixed space of τ is non zero. Pick $\theta \in L$ uniformly at random. If $\sum_{i=0}^{[L:K]-1} \tau^i(\theta) \neq 0$ (which happens with probability at least $1/2$), set $\alpha = \sum_{i=0}^{[L:K]-1} \tau^i(\theta)$. Since $\zeta^{-1} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $\zeta^{-[L:K]} = 1$,

$$\tau(\alpha) = \sum_{i=0}^{[L:K]-1} \zeta^{-i}\sigma^{mi}(\alpha) = \alpha \Rightarrow \tau(\alpha) = \alpha \Rightarrow \zeta^{-1}\sigma^m(\alpha) = \alpha \Rightarrow \sigma^m(\alpha) = \zeta\alpha.$$

We next demonstrate $\sum_{i=0}^{[L:K]-1} \tau^i(\theta)$ can be computed fast given $\theta \in L$. Our approach is similar to the Frobenius trace computation of von zur Gathen and Shoup [vzGS92, Alg. 5.2].

Let L be given as $\mathbb{F}_q(\eta)$ for some $\eta \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ with minimal polynomial $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$. By repeated squaring, in time $\widetilde{O}([L:\mathbb{F}_q]\log^2 q)$ compute η^q. For a positive integer b, let Σ_b denote the partial sum $\sum_{i=0}^{b-1}\tau^i(\theta)$. Our goal is to compute $\Sigma_{[L:K]}$. For every positive integer b,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2b-1}\tau^i(\theta) = \sum_{i=0}^{b-1}\tau^i(\theta) + \sum_{i=b}^{2b-1}\tau^i(\theta) = \sum_{i=0}^{b-1}\tau^i(\theta) + \tau^b\left(\sum_{i=0}^{2b-1}\tau^i(\theta)\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=0}^{2b-1}\tau^i(\theta) = \sum_{i=0}^{b-1}\tau^i(\theta) + \zeta^{-b}\sigma^b\left(\sum_{i=0}^{b-1}\tau^i(\theta)\right) \Rightarrow \Sigma_{2b} = \Sigma_b + \zeta^{-b}\sigma^{bm}(\Sigma_b). \tag{3.1}$$

Given Σ_b and η^q, $\sigma^{bm}(\Sigma_b)$ can be computed in $\widetilde{O}([L:\mathbb{F}_q]\log q)$ time using the iterated Frobenius algorithm [vzGS92, Alg 3.1] with fast modular composition [KU08, Cor. 7.2]. Hence, given Σ_b, computing Σ_{2b} using equation 3.1 takes $\widetilde{O}([L:\mathbb{F}_q]\log q)$ time, which evidently is independent of b and m.

Set $c = \lfloor \log_2[L:K] \rfloor$ and compute Σ_{2^c} by successively computing $\Sigma_0, \Sigma_2, \Sigma_4, \ldots, \Sigma_c$ using equation 3.1. Since $c \leq \log_2[L:K]$, this takes $\widetilde{O}([L:\mathbb{F}_q]\log q)$ time. If $[L:K]$ is not a power of 2, we recursively compute $\Sigma_{[L:K]-c}$. With the knowledge of Σ_c and $\Sigma_{[L:K]-c}$, compute σ^{mc} in $\widetilde{O}([L:\mathbb{F}_q]\log q)$ time using [vzGS92, Alg. 3.1][KU08, Cor. 7.2] and then compute $\Sigma_{[L:K]}$ as

$$\Sigma_{[L:K]} = \Sigma_c + \zeta^{-c}\sigma^{mc}(\Sigma_{[L:K]-c}). \tag{3.2}$$

Since $[L:K]-c \leq [L:K]/2$, at most $\log_2[L:K]$ recursive calls are made in total. □

We next state the algorithm followed by proof of correctness and implementation details.

Algorithm 1 Root Finding Through Kummer Theory:

Input: Monic irreducibles $g_1(x), g_2(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ of degree ℓ^a where ℓ is a prime dividing $q-1$ and a is a positive integer.

Output: A root of $g_1(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_q(\beta_2)$ where $\beta_2 \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ is a root of $g_2(x)$.

1: Find a primitive ℓ^{th} root of unity $\zeta_\ell \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

2: Construct $\mathbb{F}_q(\beta_1) \cong \mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^a}}$ where β_1 is a root of $g_1(x)$.

▷ Apply lemma 3.1 with $\left(L = \mathbb{F}_q(\beta_1), m = \ell^{a-1}, \zeta = \zeta_\ell\right)$ and find $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q(\beta_1)$ such that

$$\sigma^{\ell^{a-1}}(\alpha_1) = \zeta_\ell\alpha_1.$$

▷ Compute α_1^ℓ. *(α_1^ℓ will have degree ℓ^{a-1}.)*

3: Construct $\mathbb{F}_q(\beta_2) \cong \mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^a}}$ where β_2 is a root of $g_2(x)$.

▷ Apply lemma 3.1 with $\left(L = \mathbb{F}_q(\beta_2), m = \ell^{a-1}, \zeta = \zeta_\ell\right)$ and find $\alpha_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q(\beta_2)$ such that

$$\sigma^{\ell^{a-1}}(\alpha_2) = \zeta_\ell\alpha_2.$$

▷ Compute α_2^ℓ. *(α_2^ℓ will have degree ℓ^{a-1}.)*

4: If $a = 1$,

▷ Find an $e \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $e^\ell = \alpha_1^\ell/\alpha_2^\ell$.

▷ Apply lemma 2.1 to $\alpha_1 \sim e\alpha_2$ and find a root of $g_1(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_q(\beta_2)$.

5: If $a \neq 1$,

▷ Find the minimal polynomials $h_1(x), h_2(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of $\alpha_1^\ell, \alpha_2^\ell$ respectively.

▷ Recursively find a root α of $h_1(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha_2^\ell) = \mathbb{F}_q[x]/(h_2(x))$. *($h_1(x)$ and $h_2(x)$ have degree ℓ^{a-1}.)*

▷ Find a $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q(\alpha_2^\ell)$ such that $\gamma^\ell = \alpha/\alpha_2^\ell$.

▷ Apply lemma 2.1 to $\alpha_1 \sim \gamma\alpha_2$ and find a root of $g_1(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_q(\beta_2)$.

We next argue that Algorithm 1 runs to completion and is correct.

Since ℓ divides $q-1$, there is a primitive ℓ^{th} root of unity in $\mathbb{F}_q$, as required in Step 1.

In Step 2, α_1^ℓ is claimed to have degree ℓ^{a-1}. Let b be the degree of α_1^ℓ. Since

$$\sigma^{\ell^{a-1}}(\alpha_1^\ell) = \left(\sigma^{\ell^{a-1}}(\alpha_1)\right)^\ell = \zeta_\ell^\ell \alpha_1^\ell = \alpha_1^\ell,$$

b divides ℓ^{a-1}. Since $\sigma^b(\alpha_1^\ell) = \alpha_1^\ell$, $\sigma^b(\alpha_1)/\alpha_1$ is an ℓ^{th} root of unity. Thus $\sigma^{\ell b}(\alpha_1) = \alpha_1$ implying the degree of α_1 divides ℓb. Since $\zeta_\ell \neq 1$, α_1 has degree ℓ^a. Thus ℓ^a divides $b\ell$ and we may conclude that α_1^ℓ has degree ℓ^{a-1}. Likewise, in Step 3, α_2 has degree ℓ^{a-1}.

In Step 4, since $a = 1$, $\alpha_1^\ell, \alpha_2^\ell \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Further $\alpha_1/\alpha_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ since $\sigma(\alpha_1/\alpha_2) = (\zeta\alpha_1)/(\zeta\alpha_2) = \alpha_1/\alpha_2$. Thus $\alpha_1^\ell/\alpha_2^\ell$ is an ℓ^{th} power in $\mathbb{F}_q$ ensuring that an $e \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $e^\ell = \alpha_1^\ell/\alpha_2^\ell$ exists. Hence $(\alpha_1/a\alpha_2)$ is an ℓ^{th} root of unity and there exists an integer i such that $\alpha_1 = \sigma^{i(\ell^{a-1})}(e\alpha_2)$. Further α_1 has degree ℓ^a. Hence Lemma 2.1, when applied to the relation $\alpha_1 \sim e\alpha_2$, correctly finds the desired output.

The recursive call in Step 5 yields a root $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q(\alpha_2^\ell)$ of $h_1(x)$. Hence $\alpha = \sigma^j(\alpha_1^\ell) = (\sigma^j(\alpha_1))^\ell$ for some integer j. Further, $\sigma^j(\alpha_1)/\alpha_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q(\alpha_2^\ell)$ since

$$\sigma^{\ell^{a-1}}(\sigma^j(\alpha_1)/\alpha_2) = \sigma^j(\zeta_\ell\alpha_1)/(\zeta_\ell\alpha_2) = \sigma^j(\alpha_1)/\alpha_2.$$

Hence $\alpha/\alpha_2^\ell = (\sigma^j(\alpha_1)/\alpha_2)^\ell$ is an ℓ^{th} power in $\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha_2^\ell)$ assuring the existence of a γ that is sought in Step 5. For such a γ, $\gamma^\ell = (\sigma^j(\alpha_1)/\alpha_2)^\ell$ implying $\sigma^j(\alpha_1)/(\gamma\alpha_2)$ is an ℓ^{th} root of unity. Hence, there exists an integer i such that

$$\sigma^j(\alpha_1) = \gamma\sigma^{i\ell^{a-1}}(\alpha_2) = \sigma^{i\ell^{a-1}}(\gamma\alpha_2).$$

Further α_1 has degree ℓ^a. Hence Lemma 2.1, when applied to the relation $\alpha_1 \sim \gamma c\alpha_2$, correctly finds the desired output.

3.1. **Implementation and Running Time Analysis.** To implement Step 1, pick a random $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and if $c^{\frac{(q-1)}{\ell}} \neq 1$, set $\zeta = c^{\frac{q-1}{m}}$. Else try again with a new independent choice $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$. We succeed in finding a ζ if the c chosen is not a ℓ^{th} power. This happens with probability at least $1 - 1/\ell$. The expected running time of Step 1 is hence $\widetilde{O}(\log^2 q)$. Running times of Steps 2 and 3 are dominated by the $\widetilde{O}(\ell^a \log^2 q)$ time their respective calls to Lemma 3.1 take.

In Step 4, find a root a of $x^\ell - (\alpha_1^\ell/\alpha_2^\ell) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ in $\mathbb{F}_q$ using [vzGS92, Thm. 5.4][KU08, Cor. 7.2] in $\widetilde{O}(\ell \log^2 q)$ time. The invocations to Lemma 2.1 in Steps 4 and 5 each take $\widetilde{O}(\ell^a \log^2 q)$ time.
In Step 5, minimal polynomials of α_1 and α_2 can be computed in $\widetilde{O}(\ell^a \log^2 q)$ time [KU08, § 8.4]. To compute γ, find a root of $x^\ell - \alpha/\alpha_2^\ell$ in $\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha_2^\ell) = \mathbb{F}_q[x]/(h_2(x))$ using [KS99, Thm. 3] with fast modular composition [KU08, Cor. 7.2]. Since we a finding the root of a degree ℓ polynomial over a field of size $q^{\ell^{a-1}}$, the running time $\widetilde{O}(\ell^a \log^2 q)$ turns out to be nearly linear in ℓ^a.

Algorithm 1 makes at most one recursive call to an identical subproblem of size ℓ^{a-1}. Hence at most a recursive calls are made in total. In summary, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *Algorithm 1 solves the* Isomorphism Problem *restricted to the special case when n is a power of a prime ℓ dividing $q-1$ in $\widetilde{O}(n \log^2 q)$ time.*

4. Root Finding in Artin-Schreier Extensions of Finite Fields

Using Artin-Schrier theory, we solve the Isomorphism Problem restricted to the special case when n is a power of the characteristic p. The novelty here is a fast recursive evaluation of the idempotent in the proof of the additive version of (cyclic) Hilbert's theorem 90.

Lemma 4.1. *There is an algorithm that given a finite extension $L/\mathbb{F}_q$ of degree $[L:\mathbb{F}_q]$ a power of p, finds an $\alpha \in L$ such that $\sigma^{[L:\mathbb{F}_q]/p}(\alpha) = \alpha + 1$ in $\widetilde{O}([L:\mathbb{F}_q]\log^2 q)$ time.*

Proof. Let $m := [L:\mathbb{F}_q]/p$ and $K := \{\beta \in L | \sigma^m(\beta) = \beta\}$. Since the trace of 1 from L down to K is 0, an α as claimed in the lemma exists by Hilbert's theorem 90 applied to the cyclic extension L/K. We next describe an algorithm that finds such an α in the stated time.

Let $Tr_{L/K} = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sigma^{mi}$ denote the trace from L to K. Pick $\theta \in L$ uniformly at random. If $Tr_{L/K}(\theta) \neq 0$ (which happens with probability at least $1/2$), setting

$$\alpha := \frac{-1}{Tr_{L/K}(\theta)} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} i\sigma^{mi}(\theta)$$

ensures $\sigma^m(\alpha) - \alpha = 1$. We next demonstrate that given $\theta \in L$, α can be computed fast.

Let L be given as $\mathbb{F}_q(\eta)$ for some $\eta \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ with minimal polynomial $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$. By repeated squaring, in time $\widetilde{O}([L:\mathbb{F}_q]\log^2 q)$ compute η^q.

For a positive integer b, let Σ_b denote the partial sum $\sum_{i=0}^{b-1} i\sigma^{mi}(\theta)$ and let Γ_b denote the partial trace $\sum_{i=0}^{b-1} \sigma^{mi}(\theta)$. We intend to compute Σ_p and Γ_p to set $\alpha = \Sigma_p/\Gamma_p$.

For every positive integer b,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2b-1} i\sigma^{mi}(\theta) = \sum_{i=0}^{b-1} i\sigma^{mi}(\theta) + \sum_{i=b}^{2b-1} i\sigma^{mi}(\theta) = \sum_{i=0}^{b-1} i\sigma^{mi}(\theta) + \sum_{i=0}^{b-1} (b+i)\sigma^{m(b+i)}(\theta)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{b-1} i\sigma^{mi}(\theta) + b\sigma^{mb}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{b-1} \sigma^{mi}(\theta)\right) + \sigma^{mb}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{b-1} i\sigma^{mi}(\theta)\right).$$

$$\Rightarrow \Sigma_{2b} = \Sigma_b + b\sigma^{bm}(\Sigma_b) + \sigma^{bm}(\Gamma_b). \tag{4.1}$$

Likewise

$$\Gamma_{2b} = \Gamma_b + \sigma^{bm}\Gamma_b. \tag{4.2}$$

Given Σ_b, Γ_b and η^q, $\sigma^{mb}(\Sigma_b)$ and $\sigma^{mb}(\Gamma_b)$ can be computed in $\widetilde{O}([L:\mathbb{F}_q]\log^2 q)$ time using the iterated Frobenius algorithm [vzGS92, Alg. 3.1] with fast modular composition [KU08, Cor. 7.2]. Hence, given Σ_b and Γ_b, computing Σ_{2b} and Γ_{2b} using equations 4.1 and 4.2 takes $\widetilde{O}([L:\mathbb{F}_q]\log^2 q)$ time. This running time is independent of b and m.
Set $c = \lfloor \log_2 p \rfloor$ and successively compute $\Sigma_0, \Gamma_0, \Sigma_2, \Gamma_2, \Sigma_4, \Gamma_4, \ldots, \Sigma_{2^c}, \Gamma_{2^c}$ using equations 4.1 and 4.2. Since $c \leq \log_2 p$, this takes $\widetilde{O}([L:\mathbb{F}_q]\log^2 q)$ time. If p is not a power of 2, we recursively compute Σ_{p-2^c} and Γ_{p-2^c}. With the knowledge of $\Sigma_{2^c}, \Gamma_{2^c}, \Sigma_{p-2^c}, \Gamma_{p-2^c}$, we may compute Σ_p and Γ_p in $\widetilde{O}([L:\mathbb{F}_q]\log q)$ time as

$$\Sigma_p = \Sigma_{2^c} + 2^c\sigma^{m2^c}(\Sigma_{p-2^c}) + \sigma^{m2^c}(\Gamma_{p-2^c}), \Gamma_p = \Gamma_2^c + \sigma^{m2^c}(\Gamma_{p-2^c}). \tag{4.3}$$

Since $p - 2^c \leq p/2$, at most $\log_2 p$ recursive calls are made in total. □

We next state the algorithm followed by proof of correctness and implementation details.

Algorithm 2 Root Finding Through Artin-Schreier Theory:

Input: Monic irreducibles $g_1(x), g_2(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ of degree p^a where a is a positive integer.
Output: A root of $g_1(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_q(\beta_2)$ where $\beta_2 \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ is a root of $g_2(x)$.

1: Construct $\mathbb{F}_q(\beta_1) \cong \mathbb{F}_{q^{p^a}}$ where β_1 is a root of $g_1(x)$.
▷ Apply Lemma 4.1 with $L = \mathbb{F}_q(\beta_1)$ and find $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q(\beta_1)$ such that
$$\sigma^{p^{a-1}}(\alpha_1) = \alpha_1 + 1.$$
▷ Compute $\alpha_1^p - \alpha_1$. *($\alpha_1^p - \alpha_1$ will have degree p^{a-1}.)*
2: Construct $\mathbb{F}_q(\beta_2) \cong \mathbb{F}_{q^{p^a}}$ where β_2 is a root of $g_2(x)$.
▷ Apply Lemma 4.1 with $L = \mathbb{F}_q(\beta_2)$ and find $\alpha_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q(\beta_2)$ such that
$$\sigma^{p^{a-1}}(\alpha_2) = \alpha_2 + 1.$$
▷ Compute $\alpha_2^p - \alpha_2$. *($\alpha_2^p - \alpha_2$ will have degree p^{a-1}.)*
3: If $a = 1$,
▷ Find an $e \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $e^p - e = (\alpha_1^p - \alpha_1) - (\alpha_2^p - \alpha_2)$.
▷ Apply Lemma 2.1 to $\alpha_1 \sim \alpha_2 + e$ and find a root of $g_1(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_q(\beta_2)$.
4: If $a \neq 1$,
▷ Find the minimal polynomials $h_1(x), h_2(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of $\alpha_1^p - \alpha_1, \alpha_2^p - \alpha_2$ respectively.
▷ Recursively find a root α of $h_1(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha_2^p - \alpha_2) = \mathbb{F}_q[x]/(h_2(x))$. *($h_1(x)$ and $h_2(x)$ have degree ℓ^{a-1}.)*
▷ Find a $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q(\alpha_2^\ell)$ such that $\gamma^p - \gamma = \alpha - (\alpha_2^p - \alpha_2)$.
▷ Apply Lemma 2.1 to $\alpha_1 \sim \alpha_2 + \gamma$ and find a root of $g_1(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_q(\beta_2)$.

We next argue that Algorithm 2 runs to completion and is correct.

In Step 1, $\alpha_1^p - \alpha_1$ is claimed to have degree p^{a-1}. Let b be the degree of $\alpha_1^p - \alpha_1$. Since
$$\sigma^{p^{a-1}}(\alpha_1^p - \alpha_1) = \left(\sigma^{p^{a-1}}(\alpha_1)\right)^p - \sigma^{p^{a-1}}(\alpha_1) = \alpha_1^p + 1 - (\alpha_1 + 1) = \alpha_1^p - \alpha_1,$$
b divides p^{a-1}. Since α_1 is a root of $x^p - x - (\alpha_1^p - \alpha_1)$ and α_1 has degree p^a, $\alpha_1^p - \alpha_1$ has degree at most p^{a-1}. Thus $\alpha_1^p - \alpha_1$ has degree p^{a-1}. Likewise, in Step 2, $\alpha_2^p - \alpha_2$ has degree p^{a-1}.

In Step 3, since $a = 1$, $\alpha_1^p - \alpha_1, \alpha_2^p - \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Further $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2$ is in $\mathbb{F}_q$ since $\sigma(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) = (\alpha_1 + 1) - (\alpha_2 + 1) = \alpha_1 - \alpha_2$. Thus $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ is a root of $x^p - x - ((\alpha_1^p - \alpha_1) - (\alpha_2^p - \alpha_2))$ ensuring that an $e \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $e^p - e = (\alpha_1^p - \alpha_1) - (\alpha_2^p - \alpha_2)$ exists. The roots of $x^p - x - ((\alpha_1^p - \alpha_1) - (\alpha_2^p - \alpha_2))$ are $\{e, e+1, e+2, \ldots, e+(p-1)\}$. Hence $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 = e$. Further α_1 has degree ℓ^a. Hence Lemma 2.1, when applied to the relation $\alpha_1 \sim \alpha_2 + e$, correctly finds the desired output.
The recursive call in Step 4 yields a root $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q(\alpha_2^p - \alpha_2)$ of $h_1(x)$. Hence $\alpha = \sigma^j(\alpha_1^p - \alpha_1) = (\sigma^j(\alpha_1))^p - \sigma^j(\alpha_1)$ for some integer j. Further, $\sigma^j(\alpha_1) - \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q(\alpha_2^p - \alpha_2)$ since
$$\sigma^{p^{a-1}}(\sigma^j(\alpha_1) - \alpha_2) = \sigma^j(\alpha_1 + 1) - (\alpha_2 + 1) = \sigma^j(\alpha_1) - \alpha_2.$$
Hence $\sigma^j(\alpha_1) - \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q(\alpha_2^p - \alpha_2)$ is a root of $x^p - x = \alpha - (\alpha_2^p - \alpha_2)$ assuring the existence of γ sought in Step 5. For such a γ, the roots of $x^p - x - (\alpha - (\alpha_2^p - \alpha_2))$ are $\{\gamma, \gamma+1, \gamma+2, \ldots, \gamma+(p-1)\}$. Hence $\sigma^j(\alpha_1) - \alpha_2 = \gamma$. Further α_1 has degree ℓ^a. Hence Lemma 2.1, when applied to the relation $\alpha_1 \sim \alpha_2 + \gamma$, correctly finds the desired output.

4.1. **Implementation and Run Time Analysis.** Running times of Steps 1 and 2 are dominated by their respective calls to Lemma 3.1, each taking $\widetilde{O}(p^a \log^2 q)$ time.

In Step 3, find a root e of $x^p - x - ((\alpha_1^p - \alpha_1) - (\alpha_2^p - \alpha_2)) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ in $\mathbb{F}_q$ using [vzGS92, Thm. 5.4][KU08, Cor. 7.2] in $\widetilde{O}(p \log^2 q)$ time. Invocations to Lemma 2.1 in Steps 3 and 4 take $\widetilde{O}(p^a \log^2 q)$ time.

In Step 4, minimal polynomials of $\alpha_1^p - \alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2^p - \alpha_2$ can be computed in $\widetilde{O}(p^a \log^2 q)$ time [KU08, § 8.4]. To compute γ, find a root of $x^p - x - (\alpha - (\alpha_2^p - \alpha_2))$ in $\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha_2^p - \alpha_2) = \mathbb{F}_q[x]/(h_2(x))$ using [KS99, Thm. 3] with fast modular composition [KU08, Cor. 7.2]. Since we a finding the root of a degree p polynomial over a field of size $q^{p^{a-1}}$, the running time $\widetilde{O}(p^a \log^2 q)$ turns out to be nearly linear in p^a.

Algorithm 2 makes at most one recursive call to an identical subproblem of size p^{a-1}. Hence at most a recursive calls are made in total. In summary, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *Algorithm 2 solves the* Isomorphism Problem *restricted to the special case when $n = p^a$ in $\widetilde{O}(n \log^2 q)$ time.*

5. Root Finding over Extensions of Finite Fields using Elliptic Curves

We solve the Isomorphism Problem restricted to the case when n is a power ℓ^a of a prime $\ell \nmid q(q-1)$ in $\widetilde{O}(\ell^a \log q + \ell \log^5 q)$ time. Through this section, fix a prime ℓ such that $\ell \nmid p(q-1)$, $\sqrt{q} \geq 5\ell^3$ and a positive integer a.

5.1. **Elliptic Curves with $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational ℓ-torsion.** Let E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$ such that ℓ divides $|E(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ but ℓ^2 does not. Let $\sigma_E : E \longrightarrow E$ denote the q^{th} power Frobenius endomorphism and $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ the trace of σ_E. The characteristic polynomial $P_E(X) := X^2 - tX + q \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ of σ_E factors modulo ℓ as

$$X^2 - tX + q = (X-1)(X-q) \mod \ell.$$

To see why 1 is a root of $P_E(X)$ modulo ℓ, observe $P_E(1) = |E(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ and $\ell \mid |E(\mathbb{F}_q)|$. The other root is q, since the product of the roots is q. By Hensel's lemma, there exists $\lambda, \mu \in \{0, 1, \ldots, \ell^{a+1} - 1\}$ such that

$$X^2 - tX + q = (X-\lambda)(X-\mu) \mod \ell^{a+1},$$

where $\lambda = 1 \mod \ell$ and $\mu = q \mod \ell$. Hence there exists $P_\lambda, P_\mu \in E[\ell^{a+1}]$, each of order ℓ^{a+1} such that

$$E[\ell^{a+1}] = \langle P_\lambda \rangle \oplus \langle P_\mu \rangle, \sigma_E(P_\lambda) = \lambda P_\lambda \text{ and } \sigma_E(P_\mu) = \mu P_\mu.$$

Since $\lambda = 1 \mod \ell$ and $\ell^2 \nmid |E(\mathbb{F}_q)|$, $\lambda = 1 + \gamma\ell$ where $\gamma := (\lambda - 1)/\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\gcd(\gamma, \ell) = 1$.

5.2. **Root Finding Through Discrete Logarithms in Elliptic Curve.** In this subsection, we devise an algorithm for the Isomorphism Problem that involves discrete logarithm computations in elliptic curves. We begin with a few preparatory lemmata.

Lemma 5.1. *$P_\lambda \in E(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^a}})$ and $\mathrm{x}(P_\lambda)$ has degree ℓ^a.*

Proof. Let c be the smallest positive integer such that $\sigma_E^c P_\lambda = P_\lambda$. To claim $P_\lambda \in E(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^a}})$, it suffices to show $c = \ell^a$. Further, $c = \ell^a$ would also imply that $\mathrm{x}(P_\lambda)$ has degree ℓ^a, for if $\mathrm{x}(P_\lambda)$ were in a proper subfield of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^a}}$ then c has to be a proper divisor of ℓ^a. Since $\sigma_E(P_\lambda) = \lambda P_\lambda$ and P_λ has order ℓ^{a+1}, c equals the order of $\lambda \mod \ell^{a+1}$ in $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^{a+1}\mathbb{Z})^\times$. For $\lambda^c = (1+\gamma\ell)^c = 1 \mod \ell^{a+1}$ to hold, it is necessary and sufficient that ℓ^a divides $c\gamma$. Hence $c = \ell^a$. □

Lemma 5.2. $E(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^a}})[\ell^{a+1}] = \langle P_\lambda \rangle$

Proof. From Lemma 5.1, $\langle P_\lambda \rangle \subseteq E(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^a}})$. Since $E[\ell^{a+1}] = \langle P_\lambda \rangle \oplus \langle P_\mu \rangle$, to claim the lemma it suffices to prove $E(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^a}}) \cap \langle P_\mu \rangle = \{\mathcal{O}\}$. If $E(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^a}}) \cap \langle P_\mu \rangle \neq \{\mathcal{O}\}$, then $\exists P \in E(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^a}}) \cap \langle P_\mu \rangle$ of order ℓ. Since $P \in E(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^a}})$, $\sigma_E^{\ell^a} P = P$ and since $P \in \langle P_\mu \rangle$, $\sigma_E P = \mu P$. Hence $\mu^{\ell^a} P = P$. Since P has order ℓ, $\mu^{\ell^a} - 1 = 0 \mod \ell$. Since ℓ is a prime, raising to ℓ^{th} powers modulo ℓ is the identity map implying $\mu = 1 \mod \ell$. Since $\gcd(\ell, q-1) = 1$, this contradicts the fact that $\mu = q \mod \ell$. Thus $E(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^a}}) \cap \langle P_\mu \rangle = \{\mathcal{O}\}$. □

The group $\Sigma := \langle \sigma_E \rangle$ acts on $E(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^a}})$. For $P \in E(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^a}})$, denote by $\Sigma.P$ the orbit $\{P, \sigma_E P, \ldots, \sigma_E^{\ell^a - 1} P\}$ of P under Σ.

Lemma 5.3. *The set $\langle P_\lambda \rangle \setminus \langle \ell P_\lambda \rangle$ is the following disjoint union of orbits*

$$\langle P_\lambda \rangle \setminus \langle \ell P_\lambda \rangle = \bigcup_{z=1}^{\ell-1} \Sigma.zP_\lambda.$$

Proof. For every $z \in \{0, 1, \ldots, \ell-1\}$, $zP_\lambda \subseteq \langle P_\lambda \rangle \setminus \langle \ell P_\lambda \rangle$ and $|\Sigma.zP_\lambda| = \ell^a$. Further, $|\langle P_\lambda \rangle \setminus \langle \ell P_\lambda \rangle| = \ell^a(\ell-1)$. It is thus sufficient to prove for distinct $z_1, z_2 \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \ell-1\}$ that $z_1 P_\lambda \cap z_2 P_\lambda = \emptyset$. If $z_1 P_\lambda = \sigma_E^j z_2 P_\lambda$ for some $z_1, z_2 \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \ell-1\}$ and $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, \ell^a - 1\}$ then,

$$z_1 P_\lambda = \lambda^j z_2 P_\lambda \Rightarrow z_1 - \lambda^j z_2 = 0 \mod \ell^a \Rightarrow z_1 - (1+\gamma\ell)^j z_2 = 0 \mod \ell^a \Rightarrow z_1 = z_2 \mod \ell.$$

□

Let $Tr_E : E(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^a}}) \longrightarrow E(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^a}})$ denote the trace like map that sends P to $\sum_{j=0}^{\ell^a - 1} \sigma_E^j P$. The next lemma states that distinct Σ orbits of $\langle P_\lambda \rangle \setminus \langle \ell P_\lambda \rangle$ have distinct images under Tr_E.

Lemma 5.4. *For all $P_1, P_2 \in \langle P_\lambda \rangle \setminus \langle \ell P_\lambda \rangle$, $Tr_E(P_1) = Tr_E(P_2)$ if and only if $\Sigma.P_1 = \Sigma.P_2$.*

Proof. If $P_1, P_2 \in \langle P_\lambda \rangle \setminus \langle \ell P_\lambda \rangle$ and $\Sigma.P_1 = \Sigma.P_2$, then $\exists j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, \ell^a - 1\}$ such that $P_2 = \sigma_E^j P_1$. Hence, $Tr(P_2) = Tr_E(\sigma_E^j P_1) = \sigma_E^j Tr_E(P_1) = Tr_E(P_1)$. We next prove the converse, that is, the "only if" part of the lemma. For every $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ at most $q^{\ell^a} - 1$ elements in $\mathbb{F}_q^{\ell^a}$ have trace (down to $\mathbb{F}_q$) α. If $Tr_E(P_\lambda) = \mathcal{O}$, then

$$[E(\mathbb{F}_q) : Tr_E(E(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^a}}))] \geq \ell \Rightarrow |E(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^a}})| \leq \left(1 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{q}}\right) \frac{q^{\ell^a}}{\ell}.$$

This contradicts the Hasse-Weil bound $|E(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^a}})| \geq q^{\ell^a} - 2\sqrt{q^{\ell^a}}$. Thus $Tr_E(P_\lambda) \neq \mathcal{O}$.
Let $P_1, P_2 \in \langle P_\lambda \rangle \setminus \langle \ell P_\lambda \rangle$ and $Tr_E(P_1) = Tr_E(P_2)$. By Lemma 5.3, there exists $z_1, z_2 \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \ell-1\}$ such that $P_1 \in \Sigma.z_1 P_\lambda$ and $P_2 \in \Sigma.z_2 P_\lambda$. Hence $Tr_E(P_1) = Tr_E(z_1 P_\lambda) = z_1 Tr_E(P_\lambda)$. Likewise, $Tr_E(P_2) = z_2 Tr_E(P_\lambda)$. Since $Tr_E(P_1) = Tr_E(P_2)$, $(z_1 - z_2) Tr_E(P_\lambda) = \mathcal{O}$. Since $Tr_E(P_\lambda) \in E(\mathbb{F}_q)[\ell]$, $|E(\mathbb{F}_q)[\ell]| = \ell$ and $Tr(P_\lambda) \neq \mathcal{O}$, the order of $Tr_E(P_\lambda)$ is ℓ. Hence $z_1 - z_2 = 0 \mod \ell$ thereby implying $\Sigma.P_1 = \Sigma.P_2$. □

Algorithm 3 Root Finding Through Elliptic Curve Discrete Logarithms

Input: Monic irreducibles $g_1(x), g_2(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ of degree ℓ^a where $\ell \leq \sqrt{q}$ is a prime not dividing $q(q-1)$.
Output: A root of $g_1(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha_2)$ where $\alpha_2 \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ is a root of $g_2(x)$.
1: Find an elliptic curve $E/\mathbb{F}_q$ with $\ell || E(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ and $\ell^2 \nmid |E(\mathbb{F}_q)|$.
2:
▷ Construct $\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^a}}$ as $\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha_1)$ where α_1 is a root of $g_1(x)$.
▷ Find a point $P_1 \in E(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^a}})$ of order ℓ^{a+1}.
▷ $x(P_1)$ is obtained as $f_1(\alpha_1)$ for some $f_1(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ of degree less than ℓ^a.
▷ Compute $Tr_E(P_1)$.
3:
▷ Construct $\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^a}}$ as $\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha_2)$ where α_2 is a root of $g_2(x)$.
▷ Find a point $P_2 \in E(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^a}})$ of order ℓ^{a+1}.
▷ Compute $Tr_E(P_2)$.
4: Find the $z \in \{1, \ldots, \ell-1\}$ such that $Tr_E(P_1) = zTr_E(P_2)$ by solving a discrete logarithm problem in the order ℓ cyclic group $E(\mathbb{F}_q)[\ell]$.
5: Compute zP_2 and obtain $x(zP_2) = f_2(\alpha_2)$ for some $f_2(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ of degree less than ℓ^a.
6: Apply Lemma 2.1 to the relation $f_1(\alpha_1) \sim f_2(\alpha_2)$ and output a root of $g_1(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha_2)$.

We first argue that algorithm 3 is correct. An elliptic curve $E/\mathbb{F}_q$ as required in Step 1 exists as $\ell^2 \leq \sqrt{q}$ implies ℓ has a multiple not divisible by ℓ^2 in the Hasse interval. As P_1 and P_2 are both in $E(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^a}})$ and of order ℓ^{a+1}, by Lemma 5.2, $P_1, P_2 \in \langle P_\lambda \rangle \setminus \ell\langle P_\lambda \rangle$. Hence by Lemma 5.3, there exists $z \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \ell-1\}$ such that

$$P_1 = \Sigma.zP_2. \tag{5.1}$$

By Lemma 5.4, equation 5.1 holds if and only if

$$Tr_E(P_1) = zTr_E(P_2). \tag{5.2}$$

Hence z as desired in Step 4 exists and further for such a z, there exists an integer j such that $P_1 = \sigma_E^j(zP_2)$ implying $f_1(\alpha_1) \sim f_2(\alpha_2)$.

The bottleneck in the algorithm happens to be computing a point of order ℓ^{a+1} in Steps 2 and 3. An algorithm for this task is presented in the subsequent subsection. For now, we discuss the implementation of the other steps. In Step 1, we generate elliptic curves $E/\mathbb{F}_q$ by choosing a Weierstrass model over $\mathbb{F}_q$ uniformly at random. Then we compute $|E(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ using Schoof's point counting algorithm in $\widetilde{O}(\log^5 q)$ time and check if $\ell || E(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ and $\ell^2 \nmid |E(\mathbb{F}_q)|$. Since $5\ell^3 \leq \sqrt{q}$, the probability that $\ell || E(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ and $\ell^2 \nmid |E(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ is close to $1/(\ell-1)$ [How93, Thm 1.1]. Hence Step 1 can be completed in time $\widetilde{O}(\ell \log^5 q)$. The Frobenius trace algorithm of von zur Gathen and Shoup [vzGS92, Alg. 5.2] implemented using fast modular composition [KU08, Cor 7.2] computes traces in finite field extensions in nearly linear time. With minor modifications (performing elliptic curve addition in place of finite field addition), it computes $Tr_E(P_1)$ and $Tr_E(P_2)$ in Steps 2 and 3 in $\widetilde{O}(\ell^a \log^2 q)$ time. The discrete logarithm computation in Step 4 can be performed with $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\ell})$ $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$-additions by the baby step giant step algorithm. Since $z < \ell$, Step 5 only takes $\mathcal{O}(\log(\ell))$ $E(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^a}})$ additions. From Lemma 2.1, Step 6 runs in $\widetilde{O}(\ell^a \log^2 q)$ time.

5.3. **Lang's theroem and Finding ℓ power Torsion with ℓ Isogenies.** In § 3 and § 4, we exploited certain idempotents in proofs of Hilbert's theorem 90 to solve the Isomorphism Problem restricted to the case where n is a power of a prime ℓ dividing $p(q-1)$ in linear time. The bottleneck in Algorithm 3 for the case $\ell \nmid p(q-1)$ is

Problem 5.5. Given a monic irreducible $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ of prime power ℓ^a degree (where $\ell \nmid p(q-1)$), an elliptic curve $E/\mathbb{F}_q$ (where ℓ divides $|E(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ but ℓ^2 does not) and $|E(\mathbb{F}_q)|$, find a generator of $E(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^a}})[\ell^{a+1}]$ where $\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^a}}$ is constructed as $\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha)$ for some root α of $g(x)$.

We next solve Problem 5.5 using elliptic curve isogenies.

Algorithm 4 Finding ℓ Power Torsion:

Input:
- ▷ Monic irreducible $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ of degree ℓ^a where $\ell \leq \sqrt{q}$ is a prime not dividing $q(q-1)$.
- ▷ An elliptic curve $E/\mathbb{F}_q$ such that $\ell || E(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ and $\ell^2 \nmid |E(\mathbb{F}_q)|$.
- ▷ $|E(\mathbb{F}_q)|$.

Output: A point $P \in E$ of order ℓ^{a+1} with coordinates in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/(g(x))$.

1: Construct $\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^a}}$ as $\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha)$ for a root α of $g(x)$.

2: Let $\iota : E \longrightarrow \widetilde{E}$ be the isogeny with kernel $\ker(\iota) = E(\mathbb{F}_q)[\ell]$.
- ▷ Compute a Weierstrass equation for $\widetilde{E}/\mathbb{F}_q$.
- ▷ Compute $\phi_\iota(x), \psi_\iota(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ such that $\mathrm{x}(\iota(R)) = \psi_\iota(\mathrm{x}(R))/\phi_\iota(\mathrm{x}(R)), \forall R \in E$.

3: If $a = 1$
- ▷ Find a point $\widetilde{T} \in \widetilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ of order ℓ.
- ▷ Find a root $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q(\alpha)$ of $\phi_\iota(x) - \mathrm{x}(\widetilde{T})\psi_\iota(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$.
- ▷ Output a point in E with x-coordinate γ.

4: If $a \neq 1$
- ▷ Find $\widetilde{\alpha} \in \mathbb{F}_q(\alpha)$ of degree ℓ^{a-1} and its minimal polynomial $M(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ by Lemma 2.2.
- ▷ Recursively find a point $\widetilde{P} \in \widetilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^{a-1}}})$ of order ℓ^a by calling this very algorithm with input $(M(x), \widetilde{E}/\mathbb{F}_q, |E(\mathbb{F}_q)|)$.
- ▷ Find a root $\eta \in \mathbb{F}_q(\alpha)$ of $\phi_\iota(x) - \mathrm{x}(\widetilde{P})\psi_\iota(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q(\widetilde{\alpha})[x]$.
- ▷ Output a point in E with x-coordinate γ.

In Step 2, the Weierstrass equation for $\widetilde{E}$ and the polynomials $\psi_\iota(x)$ and $\phi_\iota(x)$ can all be computed in $\widetilde{O}(\ell^a \log^2 q)$ time [CL13, § 4.1]. In Step 3, a point $\widetilde{T} \in \widetilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ of order ℓ can be found in $\widetilde{O}(\ell \log q)$ time as follows: generate $\widetilde{R} \in \widetilde{E}(F_q)$ at random and output $\widetilde{T} = |\widetilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_q)|/\ell$ if its not the identity. Note we know don't have to compute $|\widetilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ since $|\widetilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_q)| = |E(\mathbb{F}_q)|$. The root finding in Step 3 takes $\widetilde{O}(\ell^2 \log^2 q)$ time using [KS99, Thm. 3] with fast modular composition [KU08, Cor. 7.2]. By [CL13, § 4.2], a root γ of $\phi_\iota(x) - \mathrm{x}(\widetilde{T})\psi_\iota(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ has degree ℓ and the two points in E with x-coordinate γ both have order ℓ^2 and are in $E(\mathbb{F}_{q^\ell})$. Thus the output at the end of Step 3 is correct. Likewise, in Step 4, by [CL13, § 4.2], a root η of $\phi_\iota(x) - \mathrm{x}(\widetilde{P})\psi_\iota(x)$ has degree ℓ^a and the two points in E with x-coordinate η both have order ℓ^{a+1} and are in $E(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^a}})$. Hence the output at the end of Step 4 is correct. The root finding in Step 4 takes $\widetilde{O}(\ell^{a+1} \log^2 q)$ time using [KS99, Thm. 3] with fast modular composition [KU08, Cor. 7.2] and is the bottleneck. The number of recursive calls is at most a which being logarithmic in ℓ^a can be ignored in the run time analysis.

Using Algorithm 4 as a subroutine, Algorithm 3 solves the Isomorphism Problem restricted to the special case when $n = \ell^a$ for some prime ℓ such that $\ell \nmid q(q-1)$ and $5\ell^3 \leq \sqrt{q}$. The restriction $5\ell^3 \leq \sqrt{q}$ may be removed without loss of generality. For if $5\ell^3 > \sqrt{q}$ in the Isomorphism Problem, we may pose the problem over a small degree extension $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}$ instead of $\mathbb{F}_q$ where d is the smallest positive integer such that $\ell \leq \sqrt{q^d}$ and $\ell \nmid d$ (c.f.[Rai08]). In summary, we have proven

Theorem 5.6. *Algorithm 3 solves the* Isomorphism Problem *restricted to the special case when $n = \ell^a$ for some prime $\ell \nmid q(q-1)$ in $\widetilde{O}(\ell^{a+1} \log q + \ell \log^5 q)$ time.*

The running time is subquadratic in the input degree ℓ^a if $a > 1$. If $a = 1$, that is, if the input degree is a prime ℓ, the running time is quadratic. The question if a sub quadratic algorithm for the later case exists remains open. We look to Lang's theorem, an elliptic curve analogue of Hilbert's theorem 90 in hopes of solving the bottleneck Problem 5.5 in subquadratic time. Lang's theorem states that the first cohomology group $H^1(\mathbb{F}_q, E)$ of an elliptic curve E over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is trivial. That is, the Lang map $\psi : E \longrightarrow E$ taking P to $\sigma_E(P) - P$ is surjective. Problem 5.5 may be rephrased in terms of computing preimages under the Lang map as the following Problem 5.7 since the preimage of $E(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^{a-1}}})[\ell^a] \setminus \{O\}$ under ψ is $E(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^a}})[\ell^{a+1}]$.

Problem 5.7. Given a monic irreducible $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ of prime power ℓ^a degree (where $\ell \nmid p(q-1)$), an elliptic curve $E/\mathbb{F}_q$ (where ℓ divides $|E(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ but ℓ^2 does not) and $|E(\mathbb{F}_q)|$, find a preimage under the Lang map ψ of $E(\mathbb{F}_q)[\ell] \setminus \{O\}$ in $E(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^a}})$ where $\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell^a}}$ is constructed as $\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha)$ for some root α of $g(x)$.

Open Problem: *To solve the* Isomorphism Problem *in subquadratic time in n, it suffices to solve Problem 5.5 or 5.7 in subquadratic time in ℓ^a for $a = 1$.*

Acknowledgement

I thank Matthias Flach, Ming-Deh Huang, Eric Rains and Chris Umans for valuable discussions.

References

BGJT14. R. Barbulescu, P. Gaudry, A. Joux, E. Thome, A Heuristic Quasi-Polynomial Algorithm for Discrete Logarithm in Finite Fields of Small Characteristic, *Advances in Cryptology EUROCRYPT 2014*, P 1-16.

Ben81. M. Ben-Or, Probabilistic algorithms in Finite Fields,*FOCS* (1981), pp. 394-398.

Ber67. E. R. Berlekamp, Factoring Polynomials Over Finite Fields, *Bell System Tech. J.*, 46:1853-1849. 1967.

BGY80. R. P. Brent, F. G. Gustavson and D. Y. Y. Yun, Fast solution of Toeplitz systems of equations and computation of Pad approximants, *J. of Algorithms*1 (1980), 259-295.

CZ81. D. G. Cantor and H. Zassenhaus, A new algorithm for factoring polynomials over finite fields, *Math. Comp.*, vol. 36, 587-592, 1981.

CL13. J-M Couveignes and R Lercier, Fast construction of irreducible polynomials over finite fields, *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, The Hebrew University Magnes Press, 2013, 194(1), pp.77-105.

vzGS92. J. von zur Gathen and V. Shoup, Computing Frobenius maps and factoring polynomials, *Comput. Complexity*, vol. 2, 187-224, 1992.

Gra08. A. Granville, Smooth numbers: computational number theory and beyond, *Algorithmic Number Theory*, MSRI Publications Volume 44, 2008.

How93. E. Howe, On the group orders of elliptic curves over finite fields, *Compositio Mathematica* (1993): 229-247.

KS99. E. Kaltofen and V. Shoup, Fast polynomial factorization over high algebraic extensions of finite fields. *In Proc. 1997 Internat. Symp. Symbolic Algebraic Comput. (ISSAC'97)* , pages 184-188.

KU08. K. Kedlaya and C. Umans, Fast modular composition in any characteristic, *FOCS*: 2008, pages 146-155.

Lan78. S. Lang, Algebraic groups over finite fields, *American Journal of Mathematics 78*: 555-563.

Len87. H. W. Lenstra Jr, Factoring integers with elliptic curves, *Annals of Mathematics* 126 (3): 649-673. (1987).

Moo1889. E. H. Moore, A doubly-infinite system of simple groups, *Bull. New York Math. Soc. 3 (1893), 73-78; Math. Papers read at the Congress of Mathematics (Chicago, 1893), Chicago, 1896*, pp. 208-242.

Pin92. R. G. E. Pinch, Recognizing elements of finite fields,*Cryptography and Coding II*, pages 193-197, 1992.

Rai08. E. Rains, Efficient computation of isomorphisms between finite fields.

Sch95. R. Schoof, Counting Points on Elliptic Curves over Finite Fields, *J. Theor. Nombres Bordeaux 7*:219-254, 1995.

Sho99. V. Shoup, Efficient computation of minimal polynomials in algebraic extensions of finite fields, *ISSAC '99*, Pages 53-58.

Zie74. N. Zierler, A conversion algorithm for logarithms on $GF(2^n)$, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 4:353-356, 1974.

Computing and Mathematical Sciences, California Institute of Technology
E-mail address: anandkn@caltech.edu